United States and France: I

By C. L. Sulzberger

New York Times (1857-Current file); Mar 16, 1974; ProQuest Historical Newspapers The New York Times (1851 - 2005)

By C. L. Suizberger FOREIGN AFFAIRS Mr. Kissinger certainly knows that

foreign policy for one country means PARIS-The petulance now featurinternal policy for another. Thus Mr. ing United States relationships with France is ridiculous and unnecessary. Which I take to be nonsense has been accused of seeking From certain remarks attributed since things are certainly in no more political coups abroad to strengthen Henry Kissinger one must conclude critical state between the two constants sagging situation at home. Like-should never be indiscreet in privates than frequently in the past angle, with Mr. Pompidou in Soviet which he now inferentially acknowledged by folly to heat up the situation at home. edges. It is one thing to use the calcur. to expect his journey to be preceded lated public leak for policy purposeMr. Kissinger has for years been a dash of French nationalism at but it is quite another to blow pare-French and a considerable adnerican expense.

steam and have it surface in a clouder of Gaullism, the philosophy Now that the French President is represented today by President Pomme one can anticipate a switchback, of embarrassment.

Mr. Kissinger was quoted in a pidou and Mr. Johert. Indeed, even if he isn't going to change his American London paper Feb. 10 Kissinger—then a Democrat—had been in the Ish't going to change his American London paper Feb. 10 kissinger—then a Democrat—had been don dealing with the energy crihaving told a small group that Europe apply 22 an addition of publishing a subject viewed differently in peans are "craven," "contemptible early as an adviser on nuclear, a subject viewed differently in "pernicious" and acting like "jackais," and European matters.

America But the old Frank to say nothing of appraising Saudile was often used as a secret mesiendship retains plenty of vitality. Arabia's King Faisal as a "religioensger between President Kennedy and 1965 de Gaulle received Hubert fanatic," which neither helped prohancellor Adenauer, an ardent Gandimphrey and told me afterward: pects of trans-Atlantic amity nor fadilit and 'Francophile, and once wayou know, in our conversation, Vice tated easement of the anti-U.S. dispatched by the former "to find opposite Humphrey and I were in what's gone wrong with our German remainder on this point—our coun-On March 6, the normally prolicy." Mr. Kissinger replied: "Theres, the United States and France, embargo.

American Paris Figaro reported will be easier if you'll tell me one, often been in disagreement over Secretary of State as saying small thing: What is our German last two centuries. Certainly we United States knew better how policy?" were not in agreement over Mexico

choose enemies than friends and the present Secretary of States hundred years ago.

was easier to treat with the formerke with Mr. Kennedy over France, And from 1914 to 1917 the United than the latter. These alleged opinions ecially on the question of de Gaulleiates had relations with Germany added to those publicly enunciated called force de frappe. He arguedile we were at war. After the Verraised hackles. one result is that recent U.S. policystence of a French national atomic join in the League of Nations and

there. Subsequently, he became a Repposed reparations for France. In has proved counterproductive. Washington petroleum consumer blican policy expert, first for Nelspage Teparations for Trance. In meeting, at which France was fighted blican policy expert, first for Nelspage Teparations for Trance and Meeting, at which France was killed blican policy expert, first for Nelspage Teparations for Trance and Meeting, at which France was swiftly superseded.

by a European Community policy tha Now, one might ask, just what England. excluded America. And French Foreign French policy as applied by the We have often been in disagree-Minister Michel Jobert, who admires who seemed an early U.S. Gardent and Humphrev shared my view

Mr. Kissinger's person more than his and in the name of that avowant it doesn't matter. Despite our difcurrent views, has recently takenadonirer of the General and friend fefences, our two nations have always rubbing mustard into U.S. irritationsmpidou, Richard Nixon? The answernained friends, naturally and spon-

It is even reported that Washingtonthings will probably simmer dotaneously. I see no reason why this has begun re-examining policy toward our policy is already seeking should not continue."

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.