MEMORANDUM OF CONFERENCE WITH THE PRESIDENT
July 22, 1963 - 6:00 PM -- Harriman Mission

Others present: Secretary Rusk
Secretary Ball
Ambassador Thompson
Mr. William Foster
Mr. Smithy
Mr. Smith

The President asked about the details of formalizing the draft test
ban treaty. Following a discussion of these formalities, it was de-
cided that Ambassador Harriman would initial the agreement in
Moscow, return to Washington, and then go again to Moscow as part
of a delegation to be headed by Secretary Rusk and including several
Senators. Secretary Rusk would expect to sign the agreement a week
after the signing ceremony. The purpose of the Senate delegation
is to interest them as well as to provide additional opportunities to
direct public attention to the benefits of a test ban treaty. The week's
delay also provides time for consultation with allies. The plan to send
a delegation to Moscow is to be put to Prime Minister Macmillan in
the hope that he would agree and name a comparable level British dele-
gation. Ambassador Harriman is to be instructed to suggest the pro-
cedure to Gromyko.

In response to the President's question, Mr. Ball said that to "initial"
an agreement means no more than that the negotiators accept as
accurate the text of the agreement.

There followed a discussion as to whether it would be better to initial
the agreement before de Gaulle has his press conference next Monday.
The President decided that de Gaulle's actions would probably not be
influenced by the initialing of the test ban treaty.

Consideration was then given to the draft letter to de Gaulle. The
President suggested several revisions. He asked that the sentence
dealing with the non-aggression pact make clear to de Gaulle that we
have told the Russians that any non-aggression proposals would re-
quire not only consultation but also agreement among the Western
allies before any action at all could be taken. In order to avoid appear-
ing to be condescending, the President suggested that the words "protect
the interests of our allies" be changed to read "to make clear" so that the sentence would read: "We would take pains in any communique on the present discussions to make clear the interest which our allies, especially France and the Federal Republic, have in this matter."
(The sentence was later revised to delete reference to France and the Federal Republic.)

There was a discussion of the following sentence: "As we have already indicated through Ambassador Bohlen, the United States Government would be willing to explore alternatives which might make French testing in these three environments unnecessary," Ambassador Thompson suggested deleting the reference to Ambassador Bohlen on the grounds that the British Prime Minister, in an earlier letter to the President, had said he did not know what we had told Ambassador Bohlen to tell the French. Mr. Ball commented that we were not certain whether the conversation between Bohlen and French Foreign Minister Couve de Murville had actually been reported to de Gaulle. Therefore, we did not know whether the offer Bohlen made to Couve was actually known to de Gaulle. The President agreed to delete the reference to Bohlen.

The President suggested changing the word "believe" to "hope" in the sentence which reads: "While there are both political and technical problems here, we hope they are capable of solution."

There was no discussion of the following sentence which was bracketed in the President's reading copy: "We must not take any course that would dismay our allies since it is the strength and unity of the alliance as a whole that matters most." (This sentence was later deleted by the President who feels that mention of our allies in the initial communication will only be a red rag to the General. We obviously will have to talk about their views at some stage, but he thinks it is a matter which need not be mentioned explicitly now.)
The discussion turned to the situation which would exist if the French refused to sign the treaty and announced that they would continue testing. The President suggested that instructions to Harriman prepare the Russians for what de Gaulle might say at his press conference next Monday. Secretary Rusk suggested, and the President agreed, that Harriman make the point to the Russians that we were looking at what the French would do rather than paying too much attention to what they said. Secretary Rusk suggested that the Russians be told that while we did not react sharply to the Chinese statement that they would not sign the treaty, we would reconsider our situation if the Chinese actually tested a nuclear weapon.

The President was concerned about what we would do if, having announced that Secretary Rusk and the Senators would go to Moscow to sign the agreement, de Gaulle, on Monday, flatly refused to associate himself with the treaty and the Russians thereupon said they would reconsider their agreement to the test ban. Later, it was agreed to allow a week to elapse between Harriman's initialing the agreement in Moscow and the signature. This provides an interval after de Gaulle's press conference during which we would re-examine the situation, if necessary. With the publication of the text of the agreement, we would be in a position to know French reaction.
Two letters to the Prime Minister were approved. The first transmitted a copy of the letter to de Gaulle. It also covered the point raised by the President, namely, our instruction to Harriman to forewarn the Russians about the possible French attitude toward the test ban agreement, calling special attention to the difference between what the French say they are going to do and any actual French testing, which is some time off in the future.

The second letter to the Prime Minister urged him to accept our proposed language for the communiqué dealing with the Russian proposal for a non-aggression pact. In our view, the language proposed by Hailsham would, in effect, be a non-aggression declaration by the U.S., U.K., and USSR.

In response to Mr. Foster's question, the President said he had talked to each of the Joint Chiefs of Staff individually. He said General LeMay was solidly opposed to the test ban treaty while the Marine Corps Commandant, General Shoup, saw in the test ban treaty a major turning point. General Taylor's view takes into account considerations other than purely military ones.

There followed a discussion as to the prospects of obtaining Senate approval for the treaty. Secretary Rusk and Mr. Foster reported increasing Senate opinion favoring the treaty. Lewis Strauss is now in favor of an environmental test ban. Mr. McCone was praised by both Mr. Ball and Mr. Foster for the support which he is developing in favor of the treaty.

There was discussion of the Senators who would be asked to go to Moscow to sign the agreement.

At the conclusion of the meeting, Mr. Foster said there was a problem arising out of the scheduled reconvening of the Geneva arms conference this summer. He said that the Moscow negotiations would not be completed in time to convene this conference as scheduled. The Russians had indicated that they would prefer not to reopen the Geneva talks but to discuss disarmament in the fall in connection with the General Assembly meeting. Mr. Foster favored a short session in Geneva during which we could discuss the details of several of the disarmament suggestions made by Khrushchev to Harriman in Moscow.
The President's view was that we should ask Harriman to ask the Russians whether they favored holding the session. If the Russians insisted on postponing the session, the President saw little profit in our trying to force them into a meeting because we would be unable to draw them out if they did not choose to be drawn.

Bromley Smith