

**Depth versus Rigidity:
R Simulation to Demonstrate Equilibrium Existence**
January 16, 2012

Suppose that $u(t) = \ln(t)$ and $\beta = 1$. Then $t_D(a) = a$, $t_S(a) = a - \sigma$, $a_B = t_B$, and $a_S = t_B + \sigma$. So the proposed equilibrium behavior is:

$$t_E(a) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a < t_B \\ t_B & \text{if } t_B \leq a \leq a_S \\ a - \sigma & \text{if } a_S < a < a_D \\ a & \text{if } a_D \leq a \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}(a) &= EU(S|t_S(a), a) - EU(D|t_D(a), a) \\ &= a [\ln(a - \sigma) - \ln(a)] - \sigma [\ln(a - \sigma) - \ln(t_B) - 1] + \delta H(a_D) (\chi_C - \chi_N) \end{aligned}$$

Note that:

$$\chi_N = \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \int_0^A [(a - 1) \ln(a) - a] dH(a)$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_C &= \int_0^A [au(t_E(a)) - t_E(a)] dH(a) - \sigma \int_{a_S}^{a_D} L^*(t_E(a)) dH(a) - \int_0^A u(\tau_E(\alpha)) dH(\alpha) \\ &\quad + \sigma \int_{\alpha_S}^{\alpha_D} L^*(\tau_E(\alpha)) dH(\alpha) + \delta H(a_D)^2 \chi_C + \delta [1 - H(a_D)^2] \chi_N \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \delta H(a_D)^2} \left\{ \int_0^A [(a - 1) \ln(t_E(a)) - t_E(a)] dH(a) + \delta [1 - H(a_D)^2] \chi_N \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \chi_C - \chi_N &= \frac{1}{1 - \delta H(a_D)^2} \left\{ \int_0^A [(a - 1) \ln(t_E(a)) - t_E(a)] dH(a) - (1 - \delta) \chi_N \right\} \\ &= \frac{\Psi(a_D)}{1 - \delta H(a_D)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \Psi(a_D) &= \int_{t_B}^{a_S} [(a - 1) [\ln(t_B) - \ln(a)] + a - t_B] dH(a) \\ &\quad + \int_{a_S}^{a_D} [(a - 1) [\ln(a - \sigma) - \ln(a)] + \sigma] dH(a) \end{aligned}$$

So the equilibrium exists if there is a value of $x \in (a_S, A)$ that solves:

$$\lambda(x) = x [\ln(x - \sigma) - \ln(x)] - \sigma [\ln(x - \sigma) - \ln(t_B) - 1] + \frac{\delta H(x) \Psi(x)}{1 - \delta H(x)^2} = 0$$

Recall that $a \sim U[0, A]$. This implies that $h(x) = \frac{1}{A}$ and $H(x) = \frac{x}{A}$. For the purposes of computer simulation, assume that $A = 5$, $t_B = 3$, $\sigma = 0.75$, and $\delta = 0.9$. Then the following R code demonstrates that $x \approx 4.96$ solves $\lambda(x) = 0$:

```

A ← 5
tB ← 3
sigma ← 0.75
delta ← 0.9
aS ← tB + sigma

y ← seq(tB, aS, length = 10000)
integrand1 ← ((y - 1) * ((log(tB) - log(y)) + y - tB) * (1/A)
integral1 ← sum(integrand1)/length(integrand1)

x ← seq(aS, A, length = 10000)
integral2 ← c(rep(NA, length = length(x)))

for(i in 1 : length(x)) {
  z ← seq(aS, x[i], length = 10000)
  integrand2 ← ((z - 1) * (log(z - sigma) - log(z)) + sigma) * (1/A)
  integral2[i] ← sum(integrand2)/length(integrand2)} }

psi ← integral1 + integral2
lambda ← x * (log(x - sigma) - log(x)) - sigma * (log(x - sigma) - log(tB) - 1)
  + (delta * (x/A) * psi)/(1 - delta * ((x/A)^2))

summary(lambda)
lambda [9682]
lambda [9683]
x [9682]
x [9683]

```