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Abstract
Aerosols can act as cloud condensation nuclei and ice nuclei, resulting in changes in cloud droplet/particle number/size, and hence altering the radiation budget. This study investigates the interactions between aerosols and ice clouds by incorporating the latest ice clouds parameterization in an atmospheric general circulation model. The simulation shows a decrease in effective ice cloud crystal size corresponding to aerosol increase, referred to as the aerosol first indirect effect, which has not been comprehensively studied. Ice clouds with smaller particles reflect more shortwave radiation and absorb more infrared radiation, resulting in radiation change by 0.5–1.0 W/m² at the top of the atmosphere (TOA). The TOA radiation field is also influenced by cloud cover change due to aerosol-induced circulation change. Such aerosol effects on precipitation highly depend on the existence of a deep convection system: interactions between aerosols and ice clouds create dipole precipitation anomalies in the Asian monsoon regions; while in West Africa, enhanced convections are constrained by anticyclone effects at high levels and little precipitation increase is found. We also conduct an experiment to assess interactions between aerosols and liquid clouds and compare the climatic effects with that due to ice clouds. Radiation and temperature changes generated by liquid clouds are normally 1–2 times larger than those generated by ice clouds. The radiation change has a closer relationship to liquid cloud droplet size than liquid cloud cover, in contrast with what we find for ice clouds.
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1 Introduction
Aerosols play an important role in the earth energy balance, cloud–radiation interactions, and global and regional weather and climate system (Haywood and Boucher 2000; Lohmann and Feichter 2005; Tao et al. 2012; Li et al. 2016). Despite in progress in recent aerosol and cloud researches, assessing the aerosols and cloud effect remains as the largest uncertainty in interpretations of Earth’s changing energy budget and predictions of future climate changes (IPCC 2013).

Aerosols are found to affect cloud droplet/particle number, effective radius (Re), and cloud water content, referred to as the aerosol indirect effect. The aerosol indirect effect is usually divided into two components: the first indirect effect, whereby an increase in aerosol concentration causes an increase in droplet/particle concentration, and the second indirect effect, whereby the reduction in cloud droplet/particle size results in changes in precipitation efficiency (Penner et al. 2001). The first and second indirect effects are also termed as the “cloud albedo” and “cloud lifetime” effects, respectively.

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram that illustrates the aerosol indirect radiative effects on both ice and liquid clouds, in which aerosols are released primarily from the land surface and further affect surface radiation budget and precipitation. Aerosol effects on liquid clouds are relatively simple because only the liquid phase is involved. Since the 1970s, several microphysical, thermodynamic, and dynamic processes have been proposed and tested (Twomey 1974; Albrecht 1989;
Current aerosol research generally agrees that the presence of aerosols provides more cloud condensation nuclei, which increases the cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) that decreases Re under fixed liquid water path (LWP) conditions, called the aerosol first indirect effect (Feingold et al. 2003; Kim et al. 2008). This is usually accompanied by an increase in cloud albedo, an enhanced reflection, and a cooling effect (Twomey 1974). Smaller Re also means that it takes longer to reach sizes large enough for precipitation, named as the aerosol second indirect effect. Drizzle suppression has been consistently observed and simulated in polluted air, which is attributed to this effect (Albrecht 1989). Moreover, the aerosol second indirect effect may enhance cloud lifetime and cloud cover, imposing an additional surface cooling.

A number of studies have been carried out to explain the aerosol–liquid clouds interactions (ACI) in recent years. Intensified deep convection in polluted conditions due to aerosol processes is reported in several studies (Tao et al. 2007; Rosenfeld et al. 2008; Lebo and Seinfeld 2011; Li et al. 2011; Lebo 2014; Lebo and Morrison 2014). Some studies suggested that the delayed precipitation due to aerosol–cloud interactions leads to an invigoration of upward wind and more persistent updrafts above the freezing (Rosenfeld et al. 2008; Li et al. 2011). Other studies related the convective invigoration to stronger low-level convergence caused by the interactions between the aerosol-induced cold pool and the lower-level wind shear (Tao et al. 2007; Lebo and Seinfeld 2011; Lebo 2014; Lebo and Morrison 2014). Moreover, coupled with atmospheric dynamics, ACI may produce a chain of complex interactions with monsoon climate and weather events (Li et al. 2016).

There were fewer observations of ice and mixed-phase clouds and related analyses compared to liquid clouds. Some early studies revealed complex interactions between radiative heating and ice microphysics and indicated the need for sophisticated models to properly simulate the cirrus cloud life cycle (Ackerman et al. 1988; Sassen and Cho 1992). Previous laboratory experiment on homogeneous nucleation and various heterogeneous nucleation modes suggested the formation of ice clouds was more complex than liquid clouds (Diehl and Mitra 1998). In recent years, more remote sensing data are available from the NASA’s A-Train (Aqua, Aura, CloudSat and CALIPSO satellites) and other lidar-based ground cloud observations such as the NASA Micro-Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET) (Welton et al. 2001; Campbell et al. 2002; L’Ecuyer and Jiang 2010; Zhao et al. 2018). Based on the CloudSat radar and CALIPSO lidar, Berry and Mace (2014) investigated the distribution, microphysical properties, and radiative properties of tropical ice clouds in Southeast Asia. They found the warming effect of the cirrus clouds had been almost fully compromised by the surface cooling effect there. Recent studies by Campbell et al. (2016) and Lolli et al. (2017) showed the contributions of cirrus cloud to global radiation budgets. However, it is hard to reduce the uncertainty in the estimation of ice cloud radiative forcing.

After evaluating both cloud and water vapor simulations in CMIP5 climate models, Jiang et al. (2013) showed that although many models added some treatments for aerosol–liquid clouds interactions, the number of GCMs including aerosol effects on ice clouds is somewhat lacking, mainly because of the large uncertainties in ice cloud nucleation modes and limited computational resources (Gu et al. 2012). Most GCMs have used prescribed ice particle sizes for the sake of computational cost while others have built the parameterization by relating ice crystal size to ice water content (IWC) and temperature (Gu et al. 2012). In early GCM studies considering aerosol indirect effect on ice clouds, most only focused on certain heterogeneous nucleation microphysics processes which subsequently affect cloud properties (Storelvmo et al. 2008; Yun and Penner 2012), and only limited aerosol types were included, such as sulfate, soot, and dust (Penner et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2012). In this study, we employ the ice cloud parameterization developed by Jiang et al. (2011), which expands from the traditional Re-IWC relationship to the Re-IWC-AOD (aerosol optical depth) relationship, with the NCEP Global Forecast System (GFS). This parameterization makes use of data from A-Train satellites coupled with recent developments in aerosol research to provide insight towards including the aerosol indirect effect on ice clouds in GCMs (L’Ecuyer...
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and Jiang 2010). This parameterization does not distinguish between different microphysics processes which are not fully understood and may induce large uncertainties. Moreover, it applies total AOD to represent aerosol loading and is not restricted to certain types of aerosols. The relatively simple mathematical expression makes this formulation easy to incorporate in GCMs to study aerosol indirect effects.

This parameterization has been adopted by Gu et al. (2012) to investigate dust aerosol impact on the North African climate, by prescribing AOD = 0.5 for North Africa and AOD = 0.1 for elsewhere. They found that reduced ice crystal size resulted in less outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) and more upward shortwave radiation (USW) at TOA in cloudy regions due to the aerosol first indirect effect. Precipitation was found to increase corresponding to enhanced convection. Our experiment adopts a more reasonable AOD estimation of the aerosol mixing ratio from the Goddard Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport (GOCART) data (Chin et al. 2002). In this work, we extend the investigation to the aerosol indirect radiative effect and large-scale circulation in major monsoon regions, i.e., East/ South Asia, and West Africa. Here aerosol indirect radiative effect has been used to present the instantaneous radiative impact of atmosphere particles on TOA and surface energy balance due to the interactions between aerosol and clouds (including climate feedbacks). We also include a set of experiments assessing aerosol effects due to liquid clouds, which is used to compare with those due to ice clouds to make a preliminary evaluation on the relative effects of different aerosol–cloud interactions. The following chapters of this paper are organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we provide a brief introduction to the cloud parameterizations used for aerosols, followed by a description of the GFS, aerosol dataset, and experiment design in Sect. 3; the model simulation results are presented in Sects. 4 and 5, including effects on ice clouds and liquid clouds; and conclusions are given in Sect. 6.

2 Description of cloud parameterization

2.1 Parameterization of aerosol effect on ice clouds

We followed the approach presented by Jiang et al. (2011), in which ice crystal size was related to both AOD and IWC. This formulation used cloud top ice cloud effective radius (called Rei afterward) and AOD from Aqua satellite’s Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) MYD08-D3 and MYD04-L2 datasets. The IWC was from Aura satellite’s Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) Version 2.2 Level 2 data (Wu et al. 2008). The MODIS and MLS measurements were collocated by averaging the MODIS data onto the MLS footprints (Jiang et al. 2009). 4 years satellite data from August 2004 to July 2008 has been employed in the parameterization, and the relationships were derived using 215 hPa IWC, at which level IWC is a good indicator of convective intensity (Gu et al. 2012). Using least-squares fitting, Jiang et al. (2011) derived an empirical formula to describe the variations with convection and AOD:

\[
Rei = \varepsilon \cdot AOD^n \cdot \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{CONV_i}{\alpha}\right)\right] \cdot \exp\left(-\beta \cdot CONV_i\right)
\] (1)

The equation has three different terms, and each represents different processes. The first term, \(Rei = \varepsilon \cdot AOD^n\), represents the modulation of AOD on Rei where \(\eta\) is a parameter determining how strong the aerosol effect is, and \(\varepsilon\) is a scaling constant. The second term, \([1 - \exp(-CONV_i/\alpha)]\), represents the growth of Rei with respect to convection. The convective index \(CONV_i\) is defined as \(CONV_i = IWC_i/IWC\), where \(IWC_i\) represents an individual measurement of 215 hPa. \(IWC\) is the mean of all 215-hPa measurements. The third term, \(1/\exp(\beta \cdot CONV_i)\), is formulated to model the decrease of Rei with \(CONV_i\), especially at large \(CONV_i\) values. \(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \) and \(\eta\) are parameters determined by performing a two-dimensional least-squares fitting to NASA’s A-Train observational data.

The ice cloud parameterization developed by Jiang et al. (2011) represented one of the first attempts to capture aerosol indirect effects on Rei. The simple mathematical expression can be easily applied in the climate models to estimate the first indirect effects on ice clouds. In the parameterization, the dependence of Rei on convection and AOD is assumed to be decoupled, i.e., \(Rei = r_{AOD} \cdot r_{CONV}\), in order to obtain a simple mathematical expression for the observed Rei-CONV-AOD relation.

2.2 Parameterization of aerosol effect on liquid clouds

We employed the empirically derived liquid cloud parameterization developed by Boucher and Lohmann (1995), which was an early attempt to relate CDNC to the sulfate aerosol mixing ratio. This parameterization has been widely adopted by different GCMs and has generated many consistent results, despite the difference in estimated radiative effect on the global scale (Lohmann and Roeckner 1996; Rotstyn 1999; Ming et al. 2005). Considering the different cloud amount, cloud water, and auto-conversion schemes in those GCMs, it seems that Boucher’s parameterization captures some core processes of aerosol–cloud interactions. Since aerosol effects on liquid clouds in this study are only used to compare with those on ice clouds, we adopt this early parameterization considering its rather stable performance.
3 Model, datasets and experiment design

3.1 Model description and datasets

In this paper, we adopt the GFS in the second version of the NCEP Climate Forecast (CFS) coupled with SSiB2 (CFSv2/SSiB2). The horizontal resolution of the model is set at T126, which represents grid points spaced equally over 384 longitudes and 190 latitudes, approximately 1° × 1° at the equator (Saha et al. 2014). Sixty-four vertical levels are used, most of which are in the troposphere. Parameterization of convective gravity wave drag is based on the theory of Chun and Baik (1998). Shortwave and longwave radiation are parameterized using the Rapid Radiative Transfer Models (RRTMG) RRTMG_SW (v3.8) and RRTMG_LW (v4.82) from Atmospheric and Environmental Research (AER) (Mlawer et al. 1997; Robert et al. 2003; Clough et al. 2005). The cloud microphysical processes except auto-conversion are parameterized following Zhao and Carr (1997). This prognostic cloud parameterization scheme includes both cloud water and cloud ice, as well as some microphysical processes for both the convective and grid-scale precipitation production. The auto-conversion parameterization in GFS is based on Sundqvist et al. (1989). The simplified Arakawa–Schubert (SAS) has been used as the convection scheme in the GFS model, and the mass-flux shallow convection scheme is parameterized based on the SAS with a few key modifications (Arakawa and Schubert 1974; Pan and Wu 1994). The parameterization developed by Hong and Pan (1996) was incorporated to describe the planetary boundary layer diffusion. The NCEP GFS is coupled with the second generation of the Simplified Simple Biosphere Model (SSiB2) as the land surface model (Xue et al. 1991, 2004; Zhan et al. 2003).

The NCEP GFS has been modified to simulate the role of aerosols in affecting radiation field and cloud properties by applying the simulated global aerosol dataset from the GOCART model. The GOCART data has been widely used in many aerosol studies in the research community and produced reasonable results (Kaufman et al. 2002; Gu et al. 2015). The monthly 1998–2010 climatology aerosol mixing ratio in GOCART has been generated using assimilated meteorology fields from the Data Assimilation System (GEOS DAS) (Chin et al. 2000, 2002). The GOCART simulates major tropospheric aerosol components, including sulfate, dust, black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), and sea-salt aerosols (accumulation mode and coarse mode), among which dust is divided into 5 bin sizes (0.1–1, 1–1.8, 1.8–3.0, 3.0–6.0, and 6.0–10 µm). Three-dimensional monthly averages of the aerosol mixing ratio are available with a horizontal resolution of 1° latitude × 1.25° longitude degrees and 72 vertical layers. The GOCART data also provide the optical properties (i.e., extinction, scattering and absorption coefficient, single-scattering albedo, asymmetry factor, and phase function) of all types of aerosols under 36 relative humidity conditions. The modified GFS model transforms climatological aerosol GOCART data set onto model grids and computes aerosol optical properties, single scattering albedo, and asymmetry parameter for each model vertical layer. It computes mean aerosols optical properties over each shortwave and longwave radiation spectral band for all aerosol components using the Mie scattering to incorporate aerosol direct effect. The 550 nm AOD has been used in the Jiang’s parameterization to study aerosol indirect effect on ice clouds.

3.2 Experiment design

In this work, we conducted model simulations from January 1st, 2006, for 6 years, using a prescribed climatology SST from WAMME II (Xue et al. 2016) to exclude feedback from the ocean. Aerosol direct effect was included in all experiments by incorporating monthly climatology of aerosol mixing ratio from GOCART. Our experiment design is summarized Table 1.

Case 1 (ICE-CTL1) was used to analyze the climatic effect of aerosols due to the indirect effect on ice clouds. In the control simulation, CTL1, AOD calculated from GOCART aerosol mixing ratio was employed for the aerosol direct effect scheme in the model. Whereas AOD = 0.01
was used in the aerosols - ice clouds interactions scheme, i.e., the aerosol indirect effect scheme. In the GOCART data set, AOD in JJA is generally smaller than 0.1 over most parts of the ocean (tropical and mid-latitude Pacific, mid-latitude Atlantic Ocean, and tropical Indian Ocean). Over the tropical Pacific Ocean, it is even smaller than 0.05. As such, 0.01 has been selected in this study to represent a clean condition in the atmosphere for the CTL1. In the ICE experiment, AOD calculated from GOCART aerosol mixing ratio was still applied for the aerosol direct effect scheme. The ice cloud interaction scheme (Jiang et al. 2011) used the GOCART data to represent a current aerosol scenario. There was no aerosol liquid cloud interaction scheme in the original GFS. Therefore, in Case 1 aerosol indirect effects on liquid clouds were not included (Table 1).

We used Case 2 (LIQ-CTL2) to assess the aerosol indirect effect on liquid clouds (Table 1). In Case 2, the aerosol direct effect was included in both CTL2 and LIQ by using the AOD calculated from GOCART aerosol mixing ratio. A pre-industrial sulfate mixing ratio averaged from 256 model runs from AMIP CAM5 (Qian et al. 2015) was employed in the liquid cloud parameterization in CTL2. GOCART sulfate concentration was used in the liquid cloud parameterization in LIQ to be representative of current aerosol distribution. There are no interactions between aerosol and ice clouds in Case 2.

The model is set to output at 6-hourly intervals (e.g., at 06:00, 12:00, 18:00, 24:00 UTC) and averaged to get monthly results. The first year has been used for spin-up and the last five years have been averaged for analyses, and we focus on results for June–July–August (JJA) during which there are strong interactions between aerosols, clouds, and the monsoon. It should be noted that the aerosol direct effect is included in all experiments by employing GOCART data in radiative processes. Moreover, SST response to aerosol effects and the feedbacks to the climate system through the air-sea coupling has been excluded to better focus on the mechanisms of aerosol indirect effects. This methodology has been widely used in previous aerosol-climate interaction studies (Menon et al. 2002; Lau and Kim 2006; Jiang et al. 2013).

## 4 Aerosol effect on ice clouds

This study continues the work of Gu et al. (2015), who investigated the direct radiative effect of dust aerosols on the regional climate of North Africa and South/East Asia. The extensive evaluations of the GFS/SSiB2 in the climate simulation have been presented in previous studies (Xue et al. 2004, 2010; Gu et al. 2017). In this study, we have also compared the GFS/SSiB2’s simulation with the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) time-series (TS) Version 3.22 temperature and the Version-2 Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) precipitation (Adler et al. 2003; Harris et al. 2014). The results show that GFSv2/SSiB2 captures the main features of global temperature pattern although a warm bias exists over the Northern Hemisphere high latitudes (figure not shown). As for precipitation, the GFS/SSiB2 captures the tropical rain belt and three major summer monsoons in West Africa, South Asia, and East Asia compared to the GPCP dataset but with a dry bias in West African and South Asian monsoon precipitation (not shown), generally consistent with previous studies. The precipitation deficit may arise from the underestimation of the intense rainfall events caused by neglecting aerosol–cloud interactions (Jiang et al. 2017) and the poor performance in simulating atmospheric mesoscale systems in GCM (Goswami and Goswami 2017).

We first evaluate the aerosol indirect effect on ice clouds using the 5-year simulation results in Case 1. Figure 2 illustrates the difference of AOD used in Jiang’s parameterization between ICE (AOD calculated from GOCART mixing ratio) and CTL1 (AOD = 0.01) in June–July–August (JJA). Total AOD has the largest magnitude over North Africa and West Asia, among which dust aerosol is dominant. Other regions with large aerosols include South Africa, South Asia, and East Asia, where sulfate aerosol plays a comparable role as dust aerosol. The BC distribution is similar to that of sulfate with a smaller magnitude, except for tropical forest regions where biomass burnings release a large portion of soot (not shown). In this study, we focus on the aerosol effects in selected regions (latitude: 5°S – 40°N; longitude 40°W–130°E) including West Africa, South Asia, and East Asia, which have both large AOD and high cloud cover and are supposed to have large aerosol–cloud interactions.

Figure 3a shows the difference in Rei between ICE and CTL. With increased aerosol loading, Rei has decreased globally, especially in West Africa, East/South Asia, and in the North Indian Ocean due to interactions between aerosol and ice clouds (Fig. 2). According to Twomey (1974), aerosols provide more cloud condensation nuclei, which increases the cloud droplet number concentration and decreases cloud droplet size. In a recent study of aerosol indirect effect on ice crystal size, Zhao et al. (2018) showed that Rei decreased with increased aerosol loading in moist conditions, consistent with the “Twomey effect” for liquid clouds. Our simulation result is consistent with previous studies showing that Rei decreases with increasing aerosol concentration (Jiang et al. 2008). The maximum change occurs in the North Indian Ocean where the magnitude is about − 5 μm. Over most regions, ice clouds with smaller particles have higher albedo, thus reflecting more shortwave radiation at TOA (Fig. 3b). The changes in shortwave radiation are closely correlated with changes in Rei in most regions, except in part of the Sahel region and the equatorial
Fig. 2 Differences of AOD used in Jiang’s parameterization between ICE (AOD from GOCART data) and CTL1 (AOD=0.01) in June–July–August (JJA)

Fig. 3 Differences of a Rei at 215 hPa (µm), b TOA USW (W/m²), c TOA OLR (W/m²), d TOA net radiation (W/m²), e high cloud cover (%), and f IWC at 200 hPa (mg/kg) in JJA between ICE and CTL1 (ICE–CTL1)
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Indian Ocean. Meanwhile, the OLR decreases in South/East Asia because smaller particles trap more infrared radiation, while in North Africa and the equatorial Indian Ocean, OLR increases can be found (Fig. 3c). The change of radiation on TOA in North Africa and the Indian Ocean will be discussed in the next paragraph. It is harder to draw a conclusion as to whether aerosols exert a positive or negative net radiative effect on TOA because it depends on the relative contribution of shortwave and longwave radiation change. In this experiment, TOA radiation is decreased by 0.62 W/m² on a global scale corresponding to increased aerosol loading.

We further investigate why the response of USW and OLR in North Africa and the Equatorial Indian Ocean is different from that in East and South Asia. The previous discussion suggests TOA USW/OLR negatively/positively correlated to the Rei change, respectively. In addition, TOA radiation is also influenced by cloud cover change. Figure 3e shows high cloud cover change, which is mostly related to ice clouds. Total cloud cover change in the entire atmospheric column is similar to that of high cloud cover with a larger magnitude. Changes in cloud cover are positively/negatively correlated to the TOA USW/OLR, i.e., greater cloud cover reflects more solar radiation and absorbs more infrared radiation, thus increases the upward shortwave radiation and decreases the OLR. In South/East Asia, both enhanced high cloud cover and reduced Rei increases TOA USW and decreases TOA OLR. In West Africa and Equatorial Indian Ocean, the reduction in cloud cover leads to decrease/increase in TOA USW/OLR, opposite to the radiative effect of reduced Rei. The model results suggest the TOA USW and OLR are dominated by the cloud cover change compared to Rei change in West Africa and Equatorial Indian Ocean (Fig. 3e). The change in cloud cover depends on convection, precipitation efficiency, and cloud lifetime and is closely related to local microphysical conditions. Figure 3f shows that change in IWC at 200 hPa, which is approximately the level of convective detrainment (Folkins and Martin 2005). The 200 hPa IWC is normally assumed as a good indicator of convective intensity. A reduction in convection may help explain the cloud cover change in North and West Africa (Fig. 3f).

To better investigate the relationship between changes in the radiation field and changes in Rei/cloud cover, we further check the correlation between ΔUSW and ΔRei, ΔULW...
and $\triangle$Rei, $\triangle$USW and $\triangle$CC, and $\triangle$ULW and $\triangle$CC in the selected region (Fig. 4). The region selected here is the same one shown in Fig. 3. Here $\triangle$USW, $\triangle$ULW, $\triangle$Rei, and $\triangle$CC are used to denote the difference of USW, ULW, Rei, and cloud cover between ICE and CTL1 (ICE - CTL1). Each point in Fig. 4 stands for one grid point in the selected region. We then calculate the cross-correlation between $\triangle$USW and $\triangle$Rei, $\triangle$ULW and $\triangle$Rei, $\triangle$USW and $\triangle$CC, and $\triangle$ULW and $\triangle$CC in the selected region. $T$ test ($t = \sqrt{n - 2} \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}}$) has been applied to examine if the correlation is significant and each plot shows a highly significant correlation ($p = 0.000$). Compared to $\triangle$Rei, $\triangle$CC has a closer correlation to both $\triangle$USW and $\triangle$ULW, and the correlation between $\triangle$CC and $\triangle$ULW can be as high as −0.91(Fig. 4d). Moreover, $\triangle$ULW (Fig. 4b, d) has a higher correlation to both $\triangle$Rei and $\triangle$CC, compared to that of $\triangle$USW (Fig. 4a, c), indicating that spatial pattern of $\triangle$ULW is similar to that of $\triangle$CC and $\triangle$Rei. Figure 4 suggests that aerosols may perturb the radiative budget on TOA through both their effects on Rei and the secondary effects on cloud cover. The radiation perturbation due to cloud cover change is likely to be as important as that due to particle size change.

Figure 5a, b show aerosol effects on surface net radiation and surface latent heat flux. Surface radiation decreases by 1.13 W/m² globally (Fig. 5a). A similar pattern is observed in latent heat change, with a smaller magnitude (Fig. 5b). The energy budget over the ocean is not analyzed due to fixed SST. The precipitation change (Fig. 5c) generally corresponds to the changes in IWC (Fig. 3f) and cloud cover (Fig. 3e) in the monsoon regions. It should be noted that in the cloud parameterization of GFS, precipitation is diagnostically calculated directly from the cloud mixing ratio (Zhao and Carr 1997) and the influence of particle size on precipitation efficiency is not considered (Kessler 1995). Therefore, the effect of aerosol on the auto-conversion rate and precipitation is not included and aerosol second indirect effect is neglected in this study. Precipitation change in Fig. 5c shows quite different patterns in the Asian and West African monsoon regions. Over East and South Asia, several dipole anomalies are found, indicating that aerosols affect precipitation regions through modulation of microphysical processes affecting large-scale dynamic conditions; whereas in West Africa, only the decrease in precipitation is found. The different mechanisms of aerosol effect on precipitation over different monsoon regions will be further discussed later. Simulations show a general decrease in surface temperature (Fig. 5d) in response to a reduction in surface radiation (Fig. 5a). In West Africa, the increase in temperature is caused by decreased latent heat corresponding to weakened precipitation.

Figure 6 shows the latitude-height cross section of the streamline in CTL1 and the differences between ICE and CTL1 in East Asia (upper panel) and South Asia (bottom panel). Three major convection zones are depicted in Fig. 6a, c. The first one occurs around 10°N in tropical regions. Another deep convection is found over Southeast China from 20°N to 32°N associated with the ITCZ, accompanied by the East Asian monsoon. The last one exists north of 40°N, where the monsoon inflow is elevated by topography and forms a cyclone in the south. Similar deep convections are found in South Asian monsoon regions from 10°N to 15°N (Fig. 6c). The prevalence of deep convection systems...
is the major difference between the Asian and West African monsoon systems and influences the aerosol indirect effect on precipitation.

Figure 6b, d show the differences of a latitude-height cross section of the streamline between ICE and CTL1. Descending anomalies are produced by strong radiative cooling over the East Asia monsoon region between 35°N–45°N and the South Asia region between 0°N–15°N, which can be inferred from a decrease in cloud cover and IWC (Fig. 3e, f). The suppressed convection in East Asia causes a southward wind anomaly in low levels, hampers the monsoon flow from moving inland (Fig. 7), and causes low precipitation there (Fig. 5c). It converges with the low-level southward wind anomaly and is enhanced by upper-level heating, producing a deep convection anomaly between 25°N–30°N (Figs. 6b, 7). These circulation changes result in moisture convergence and precipitation increase over Southeast China and divergence and precipitation decrease around 35°N (Fig. 7a). A similar precipitation dipole occurs in Southeast Asia (Fig. 5c), also caused by the large-scale circulation anomaly (Fig. 6d). A cooling in the south and a heating in the North in the high troposphere can be inferred from the dipole anomalies in cloud cover and IWC (Fig. 3e, f). The heating anomaly favors a southward flow at the TOA, causing a descending in the south and rising in the north (Fig. 7b). The convection change finally leads to a dipole in precipitation anomaly over South Asia and the North Indian Ocean.

Figure 8 shows the latitude-height cross-section of wind streamlines in CTL1 and the differences between ICE and CTL1 and their changes in West Africa (15°W–10°E). The meridional monsoon inflow from 5°N to 17°N in CTL1 brings abundant moisture inland (Fig. 8a). A deep moist convection exists around 10°N and a shallower dry convection is present north of 15°N, associated with the thermal low. The dry convection is capped below 500 hPa by anticyclonic circulation of the Saharan high. Two major southward flows are present in mid to high levels: one existing over the Guinea Coast is caused by deep convection and is accompanied by a subsidence around the Gulf of Guinea; another occurs at 600 hPa and is the outflow of the Saharan high (Fig. 8a).
Similar to the scenario in the Asian monsoon, a strong descending anomaly from TOA to land surface is present in the deep convection regime in West Africa (Fig. 8b), caused by longwave cooling due to less cloud cover (Fig. 3e). The descendence is found in all levels in the deep convection zone from 10°N to 15°N (Fig. 8b). Figure 9a
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The aerosol indirect effect on clouds and regional climate in the region of interest displays the anomaly of 850-hPa moisture flux and vertically integrated moisture flux divergence in West Africa, which shows moisture flux divergence at low levels, corresponding to decreased convection. This moisture divergence directly leads to precipitation decrease over the Sahel region (Fig. 5c). The weakened convection also causes surface temperature increase by 0.5 K from 5°N to 20°N (Fig. 5d). For other regions outside the deep convection zone, although strengthened convection can be found around 15°N, it is confined below 600 hPa by the Saharan High (Fig. 8b). Consequently, it does not result in apparent IWC increase (Fig. 3f) and precipitation increase (Fig. 5) in the north. Figure 9b shows the 500-hPa vertical velocity over West Africa. Only a few regions show convection increase and they are rather weak compared with the strong convection decrease in the south. Because the enhanced convection is constrained at low levels by the Saharan high, it does not result in apparent precipitation increase in the Northern part of West Africa. Consequently, no apparent precipitation dipole anomaly is found in the African monsoon region.

5 Comparison between aerosol effects on liquid clouds and ice clouds.

A set of experiments was designed to compare the relative magnitude of climate impact due to aerosol effect on liquid clouds with that on ice clouds. Similar to the ice cloud experiments, the liquid cloud experiments are designed to show the difference between a current scenario and a clean scenario. In CTL2, pre-industrial sulfate concentration, which mainly comes from natural sources such as forest fires or oxidation of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) is specified. In the LIQ experiment (Table 1), GOCART sulfate aerosol is specified. Industrial sulfate aerosols are produced by oxidation of SO2 and emitted from anthropogenic activities such as coal burning (Chin et al. 2002). The relatively short lifetime of sulfate makes its spatial distribution more heterogeneous. The source regions (East Asia, South Asia, Europe, and East America) normally have larger aerosol concentrations.

Table 2 shows liquid cloud effective radius (called Rel hereafter) in LIQ and CTL2 in each hemisphere and compares them with satellite retrieval from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) in Han et al. (1994). The simulated Rel is systematically smaller than satellite observation by about 2 µm, comparable with the estimated bias of the satellite retrieval, 1–2 µm. Moreover, according to Han et al. (1994), the estimated contrast between land and sea is 3.3 µm, and the contrast between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres is 0.7 µm. Our model reasonably reproduces the land-sea contrast and the hemispheric contrast obtained from the satellite data.

Figure 10 shows the JJA difference of Rel between LIQ and CTL2. Decreased Rel is found in three major monsoon regions and varies with sulfate loading. Liquid clouds with smaller droplets size have larger albedo and reflect more solar radiation, thus increasing global upward shortwave radiation on TOA (Fig. 11a), especially over North Hemisphere continents where most Rel changes occur (Fig. 10). Global shortwave radiation is reduced by 2.86 W/m² at TOA, which is about the same magnitude as the aerosol direct effects, consistent with the previous estimation with some uncertainty (Kiehl and Briegleb 1993). The longwave radiative effect is neglected here because liquid clouds are thick enough to act as black bodies (Boucher and Lohmann 1995). Major cloud cover decrease is found in tropical regions (Fig. 11b), which could be due to aerosol-induced changes in dynamic conditions, such as regional convection and large-scale circulation. TOA shortwave radiation (Fig. 11a) is dominated by the changes in liquid cloud droplet effective radius (Fig. 10). The cloud cover change plays a secondary effect, different from the aerosol indirect effects on ice clouds, where radiation field is affected by both changes in crystal size and cloud cover. Figure 11c shows decreased temperature over most regions especially over the extra-tropics, corresponding to decreased surface radiation. The temperature increase in West Africa and Southeast Asia (Fig. 11c) is related to a reduction in latent heat caused by weakened precipitation (Fig. 11d).

We compare the relative importance of aerosol indirect effects on ice clouds and liquid clouds at both global and regional scales. Figure 12a, b show the TOA and surface aerosol indirect radiative effects. We find the magnitude of change in liquid clouds is 1–2 times larger than that due to ice clouds. The relatively smaller radiation feedback due to ice clouds is caused by the longwave absorption effect, which partly offsets the shortwave reflecting effect. In contrast, the longwave feedback due to the response of liquid clouds is negligible as discussed before.

Figure 12c, d summarize the aerosol indirect effects on regional surface temperature and precipitation. Most regions
show decreased surface temperature resulted from aerosol indirect effects, and the global mean temperature change is 1–2 times larger in liquid clouds than in ice clouds. At the regional scale, temperature response caused by the aerosol indirect effect on ice clouds can be comparable to that caused by liquid clouds. The aerosol indirect effect on globally averaged precipitation is close to zero because precipitation changes are heterogeneous and even with opposite signs in different regions. In general, continental precipitation decreases due to aerosol effects on liquid clouds, whereas precipitation changes due to aerosol effects on ice clouds are less conclusive. Nevertheless, aerosols modify the precipitation distribution pattern in regions where the deep convection system exists as discussed earlier. Whether it will cause precipitation increase or decrease in East/South Asia is highly dependent on the specific region we focus on; whereas in West Africa, the enhanced convection anomaly is confined in the lower level, which does not finally result in precipitation increase. It should be noted that most GCMs, including the GFS, do not consider the impact of cloud droplets/particles size change on precipitation efficiency (Gu et al. 2012). Therefore, the differences in precipitation are directly reflected by IWC change (Fig. 3e), which is associated with the interactions and feedback among aerosols, radiation, clouds, and dynamic field. The microphysical properties are modulated by the first indirect aerosol radiative effect and, in turn, affect the simulated climate.

## 6 Conclusion

This study carries out a series of simulations to investigate the aerosol indirect effect on clouds. The ice cloud parameterization developed by Jiang et al. (2011) and the liquid cloud parameterization by Boucher and Lohmann (1995) have been incorporated separately to test aerosol indirect
effects on ice clouds and liquid clouds. In the ice cloud experiments (Case 1), the experiments use AOD = 0.01 to represent a clean atmospheric condition and AOD from GOCART for the current scenario. In the liquid cloud experiments (Case 2), the pre-industrial sulfate aerosol concentration is used to represent a clean scenario, and the GOCART sulfate concentration from GOCART is used to represent a current scenario. For each experiment, 6-year numerical simulations have been conducted using WAMME II climatology SST.

It is shown that aerosols act as ice clouds nuclei, which enhances cloud scattering and absorption effects. Overall, the aerosols first indirect effect results in negative radiative effects at TOA. In addition, the TOA radiation budget is also perturbed by cloud cover changes induced by effects of cloud microphysics and associated convection, with a similar magnitude as the Rei effect. Aerosols mainly change the precipitation distribution pattern and create anomaly dipoles in the South/East Asian regions but not West Africa, where convection is constrained by the Saharan High. Therefore, enhanced convection is confined in the low level, thus resulting in precipitation decrease only. We also test the sensitivity for different AODs in the clean scenario by using AOD = 0.1 in the control experiment. The conclusions are consistent and comparable in all radiation and climate variables (not shown). Therefore, we suppose the aerosol influence on regional climate is not very sensitive to the AOD values used for clean conditions as long as the values can properly represent a clean atmosphere.

We also include experiments assessing aerosol indirect effects on liquid clouds and compare with those on ice clouds. Previous studies mostly investigated aerosol indirect effect on either liquid or ice clouds separately. This study includes both effects and provides a preliminary comparison of the relative magnitude of these two effects. Both ice cloud experiments and liquid cloud experiments are designed to show the difference between a current scenario and a clean scenario. Different from ice clouds, the longwave radiative changes of liquid clouds are negligible because most of them are thick enough to act as black bodies (Boucher and Lohmann 1995). Radiation and temperature changes generated by aerosol effects on liquid clouds are normally 1–2 times larger than those generated by aerosol effects on ice clouds. Moreover, radiation change is directly related to liquid cloud droplets size change in most regions, different from the aerosol effect on ice clouds.

IPCC (2013) has pointed out that “Clouds and aerosols continue to contribute the largest uncertainty to estimates and interpretations of the Earth’s changing energy budget.” Our simulation results confirm that inherent complexity of aerosol–cloud interactions is the main reason inhibiting a better understanding and description of the aerosol

Fig. 12 Aerosol indirect effects on a TOA radiation (W/m²), b surface radiation (W/m²), c surface temperature (K), and d precipitation (mm/day) on the Global scale, Northern Hemisphere, East Asia, West Africa, and South Asia.
indirect effect. Aerosol interactions with ice clouds are different from those with liquid clouds in reference to OLR, radiative heating, and their further effects on convection strength and precipitation. Even the aerosol indirect effect on ice clouds varies from region to region depending on different climate dynamic conditions. These features make the aerosol climate effect more dependent on GCM dynamics, microphysical parameterization, and aerosol optical properties provided by aerosol data. Moreover, the parameterizations themselves would induce some bias. The ice cloud parameterization of Jiang et al. (2011) does not include the interactions between microphysical and dynamical processes because they are very complicated and are not yet well understood—this is supposed to cause some bias although we do not know how large it could be. The parameterization represents one of the first attempts to use satellite data to quantify the first indirect effect of aerosols on ice clouds for use in climate models. Recent aerosol and clouds measurements from CALIPSO and CloudSat offer vertically-resolved aerosol and clouds distribution, which could be applied to provide a high-resolution ice cloud parameterization. However, different from GOCART, CALIPSO assigned only one aerosol type to each atmospheric layer, rather than a mixture of aerosol compositions (Omar et al. 2009), which is difficult to be directly introduced to the GFS. Given the huge uncertainties estimating aerosol climate effect, we propose that the optimization of aerosol simulation should include the improvement of GCMs, a better description of aerosol optical properties in the aerosol data sets, and the development of parameterization for aerosol–cloud interactions.
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