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Introduction

An essential feature of work in the language socialization paradigm is examining how in becoming competent participants of their social group children (or other members) are socialized through language and to use language (Kulick and Schieffelin 2004: 350). Learning appropriate affective stances is an important dimension of becoming a competent social group member, as studies of language socialization are fundamentally concerned with how it is that novices acquire a habitus or ways of being in the world (Kulick and Schieffelin 2004: 249); rather than asking how societies vary cross-culturally, the focus in language socialization studies is on how specific affective alignments or positions come into being and are negotiated (Kulick and Schieffelin 2004: 351; see also Cook, this volume).

Analysis of the acquisition of particular practices over time is based on extensive longitudinal ethnographic study of specific embodied language resources. Participants in the process of language socialization mutually shape one another; thus, in the family, children as well as parents are ‘mutual apprentices’ (Pontecorvo, Fasulo, and Sterponi 2001) to one another.

Seminal studies in language socialization (Kulick 1992; Ochs and Schieffelin 1984; Schieffelin and Ochs 1986) have addressed how more accomplished participants socialize novices, as, for example, in parent–child or teacher–student interaction. Work by Schieffelin (1990) and others (Burdelski 2010, this volume; Clancy 1986; Rabain-Jamin 1998) has examined prompting as an important resource for ‘socialization to use language’ (Schieffelin and Ochs 1986: 163), as well as socialization into particular relationships. In Kaluli a specific grammatical form, ada:ma
or 'say like that,' is used to help establish the gender identity of girls. Generational identity of young children is established through the term o:mina, meaning 'having chewed, give' (Ochs and Schieffelin 2006: 183). In Samoa, the term sau helps to build the directive meaning 'to come,' establishing the identity of the speaker as relatively higher status than the addressee.

Instead, here our focus is on how child participants, either members of a peer group or sibling multiage group - socialize one another. Western-influenced notions of 'peer groups' have conceptualized children's groups as consisting of same-age peers who are not related to one another. However, many children's groups in neighborhoods and nonschool settings (Goodwin 1990; Thorne 1993) in Western cultures, and in non-Western cultures in which older children spend large periods of the day caring for younger kin (de León 2007; Reynolds 2002; Rogoff 1981; see Goodwin and Kyratzis 2007 for a review), do not consist of same-age members or children unrelated to one another. We acknowledge that both 'peer groups' and 'sibling-kin groups' are important arenas where language socialization occurs, and include both in the scope of this chapter.

Children's negotiations of how they stand vis-à-vis one another - that is, their 'identities-in-interaction' (Antaki 1994; Antaki and Widdicombe 1998) - are made relevant in the midst of their naturally occurring conduct with one another. In the course of talk, as Pomerantz and Mandelbaum (2005: 153) argue, participants achieve and renew their relationships with one another through the act of 'talking and acting in ways that are recognizably bound with relationship categories.' In this chapter we investigate a number of systematic practices and resources through which children in concert with one another build the phenomenal and social worlds they inhabit as the situated product of interactive practices: evaluating their playmates, ascribing particular categorizations to one's interlocutors, creating differentiation and hierarchy, constructing a pretend world, and using practices of language alternation.

While evaluating group members through storytelling, assessments, insults, or categorizations of person, children take up either common or divergent stances towards the target, socializing appropriate forms of behavior. Such practices thus lie at the heart of processes of achieving intersubjective understanding (Goodwin and Goodwin 1987). In order to come to terms with these practices, we investigate evaluative commentary as well as members' categories (which often carry an affective valence) that occur in the midst of such activities. We also explore how children utilize members' categories, as well as directives, as resources for building social organization in pretend play, and how children use features of different registers, voices, and genres during play as means to both explore and comment on social roles, categories, and relationships from the adult world and to negotiate social order (see also Aronsson, this volume). Finally, we examine how children growing up in multilingual communities make use of practices of language alternation to build opposition and alignments as well as to define 'social places' (Schieffelin 2003: 158) for their language varieties during play.

Evaluative Commentary

In the midst of talk, peer commentary to one another in their larger social linguistic practices such as gossip (Pomerantz 1984), and redefine the social situation making evaluative commentary bound activities or 'tense up stances' with not only locate an attitude moral behavior, but another one in the (1999, 2002) - an aggressive way of talking, belas 1992: 464).
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Evaluative Commentary

In the midst of talk, peers police the local social landscape and make evaluative
commentary to one another with respect to what they consider the valued signs
in their larger social universe – ones that are linked to social status. Through lin-
guistic practices such as gossip, assessments (Goodwin and Goodwin 1987;
Pomerantz 1984), and storytelling, group members continuously define and
redefine the social situation and hold one another accountable to it. Participants
making evaluative commentary link what Sacks (1972, 1995b) has called ‘category-
bound activities’ or ‘members’ categories’ with the target being assessed. By
taking up stances with respect to the target or stance object (Du Bois 2007),
they not only locate and reference the peer group’s notion of culturally appro-
imate moral behavior, but also negotiate their alignments to one another and position
one another in the local social group or community of practice (Bucholtz
1999, 2002) – an aggregate of people who develop shared ‘ways of doing things,
ways of talking, beliefs, values, power relations’ (Eckert and McConnell-Ginet

Gossip

Within storytelling, play, and gossip, peers learn appropriate ways of interacting
in the local social group (Eder 1995; Goodwin 1990; Loyd 2011; Shuman 1986).
Goodwin (1990) analyzes the ‘he-said-she-said’ gossip event in which neighbor-
hood girls aged between 7 and 12 tell stories leading to future confrontations of
two against one that reorganize and realign the local social organization. Girls
make use of multiple types of stories – instigating stories, retold stories, hypotheti-
cal stories, and harvested parallel stories – to elicit a statement from an offended
party that leads to her confronting an offending party (Goodwin 1990: 187) to take
action against the offense of having said something about someone behind her
back. These are important ways of dealing with real and imagined offenses con-
cerning someone having put herself above others; failure to confront one’s offender
is thought to result in loss of social face. The focus is on both prior and future
interactions, dealing with what someone in fact said, or would say in a future
meeting between the offended and offending parties.

Researching Latina gang girls in Northern California, Mendoza-Denton (2008:
181) discusses ‘talking shit,’ a form of gossip that has an optional confrontational
denouement: ‘it is either a third-person account where the speaker portrays the
third party unfavorably or a narrative where one brags about one’s own actual
or imaginary victory against an absent one.’ In the he-said-she-said disputes
that Shuman (1986, 1992) examined among a group of African American, European
American, and Puerto Rican inner-city junior high-school students in Philadelphia,
the role of the instigator, rather than the original offending party, was
critical. Shuman states (1992: 140): ‘In many cases the message-bearer was held
responsible for instigating the conflict, and the antagonisms shifted from the original offense to a challenge against the person who was not entitled to talk about someone else's offense. In high-school years and beyond, responsibility for instigating becomes a key issue when gossip turns into 'rumor' (Morgan 2002: 60).

Evaldsson (2002), investigating gossip among working-class preadolescent Swedish boys, finds that boys' alignments of two against one (against girls as well as boys) result in confrontations in the present encounter rather than in a future one. As in the Maple Street, Philadelphia boys' stories studied by Goodwin (1990), the child animates as principal character in a telling is present. Through practices such as format tying, boys demonstrate highly collaborative intimate stances in support of talk by a group member about someone who positions her/himself above others. Evaldsson (2002: 211) finds that 'the participant structure of the gossip telling itself simultaneously allows the boys to solicit support, seek affiliation, and strengthen solidarity – features prominent in all-female groups.' Because the party being talked about in a degrading fashion is present, he may counter the negative assessment; nevertheless, each successive counter by the target leads to further confirmations by others of their collective alignment against him. Boys thus can establish relations of power and hierarchy in the midst of collectively showing support in aligning against a present target.

Evaldsson's work problematizes easy gender dichotomies. However, offences among boys do not concern what an intermediary party reportedly said behind one's back, as occurs in girls' groups. Rather, the social categories that are invoked deal with male cultural concerns: 'anxieties about being excluded, associated with physical vulnerability, emotional weakness, and cowardice' such as crying, sulking, wetting one's pants, or calling for a teacher's intervention (Evaldsson 2002: 199).

Assessments

Bucholtz (2007: 378) has noted that discernment (Bourdieu 1984), or the ability to distinguish between what is desirable and what is not, is fundamental to groups as a way to index social status. Goodwin's (2006) fieldwork among elementary-school girls in Los Angeles aged between 10 and 12 found that peers hold one another accountable for recognizing the meaning of signs that index wealth, such as cars that are luxurious, foreign travel, or elite sports, and being able to produce appropriate moves that show understanding of relative value within a language game.

Newon (2006), studying assessments (Goodwin and Goodwin 1987; Goodwin 2007; Pomerantz 1984) during storytelling among a multiethnic middle-class group of 14-16-year-old cheerleaders in an all-girl Catholic school in suburban California, observed that girls create their own local culture by defining what to aspire to and what to avoid. Girls evaluated body image, physical and mental ability, maturity, and popularity. In small groups, girls who produced self-deprecatations – negative assessments about themselves – received in response moves of disagreement (supportive commentary about the initial speaker).
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However, in larger groups negative assessments about nonpresent girls, often framed with laughter, were frequently ratified.

Forms of discarnism have also been investigated by Henderson (2009), who studied a multicultural group of tweens aged between 10 and 12 in an after-school computer club. Henderson found that tweens explored a range of diverse identities online as they played 'Whyville.' They styled online avatars through forms of assessments that were used to evaluate 'the good body' and a savvy Los Angeles style. Eckert's (1987) study of jocks (students oriented towards middle-class aspirations) and burnouts (students identifying with working-class culture) in suburban Detroit found polar differences in how adolescents style forms of coolness. Mendoza-Denton examined nortena and sureña, embodied styles that index complex ideologies through multiple semiotic resources of difference (music, dress, makeup, body image, and phonetic pronunciations) (2008: 208, 212). Analyzing the language and culture of South Asian American teens or 'Desis' in three Silicon Valley high schools, Shankar (2008) compares hip, cosmopolitan 'popular' styles with what popular teens term 'FOB' ('fresh-off-the-boat') styles. Bucholtz (2011) examined the semiotic practices used to construct the youth cultural styles of preppy mainstream white hip hop fans, and nerds among youth of European American descent at Bay City High, an urban multiracial public school in the San Francisco Bay Area.

Looking at telephone conversations between 14-16-year-old girlfriends in southwest Germany, Kotthoff (2010) found the valued signs of these girls were romantic relationships with boys. She analyzed how girls socialize one another to deal with relatively unstable romantic contacts, characterized by both attraction and repulsion, simultaneously positioning themselves in their friendship groups and the larger cross-sex social world as they discuss these relationships. As Eckert (2003: 386) notes, positioning can also be accomplished through compliments, which she argues constitute the 'verbal means by which girls monitor progress in the accomplishment of new norms of feminine behavior and adornment.' Eckert (2003: 386) maintains that 'sincere compliments to players in the market add value to the receiver as evidence of her quality, and to the giver as evidence of her possession and exercise of cultural knowledge.'

Critiques of girls who imagine themselves as occupying a particular category that ranks them above others are constructed through the use of mental-state verbs ('think she popular' or 'think she cute'). For example, among a Los Angeles clique, when critiquing a girl named Janis for bragging about her Spice Girls artifacts and trendy clothing, Aretha stated, 'Janis thinks she's popular because she stays up to date.' The preface 'she think' displays how the girls, as cognitively complex actors, read the intentionality or status claims that underlie the use of particular signs (in this case, wearing clothes that are trendy) and assess the character of the person making such claims.

Spreckels (2008), conducting a two-year study with German adolescent girls during their leisure activities, discusses a similar framing for complaints against someone, with utterances such as 'He thinks he's X just because of Y': 'Now he thinks he's listening to rock music just because he listened to AC/DC somewhere.'
Music is important in the culture of German adolescents (and hence in identity construction). Knowledge of musical style (e.g. gangsta and hip hop) is used to index those who are hip (affiliate with the youth culture) from those who are not. Such framings as 'he thinks he's doing X' are often used to project the category of someone who is a 'wannabe' (as in 'wannabe hippie' or 'wannabe film star') and cannot appropriately occupy the category. Similarly, Henderson (2009), in her study of Southern California tweens who construct virtual-world identities while playing 'Whyville,' noted distinctions that children made between groupies ('emos') and actual band members (someone who is 'rockish').

**Ritual insult and negative assessments**

Evaldsson (2005: 765-6) reviews work on ritual insulting (talk that concerns a pejorative attribute of the target not known as literally true), including alternative ways that participants sequence next turns, pointing out that 'there is always a danger that the boundary between playful and real aggression will begin to blur' (Evaldsson 2005: 765). As physical fights are a possible next move to personal insults (Kochman 1983; Labov 1972), jocular abuse is used to prevent aggression (Eder 1995; Rampton 1995) while laughter breaks tension. Evaldsson (2005: 769) sees insult as emerging from mocking, ridiculing, and gossiping, resulting from games as well as isolated insult sequences. Evaldsson (2005: 770) argues that fighting back and 'being able to take it' are important to everyday masculine experience (Danby and Baker 1998; Eder 1995; Morgan 2002; Thorne 1993), promoting 'toughness' and competition (Evaldsson 2002; Willis 1981). Studying female peer groups in the Quartieri Spagnoli (inner city Napoli), Loyd (2011) found that 5-12-year-old girls construct their moral and social order in everyday performances of argumentation. In response to living in a world where peers constantly monitor and police each other in attempts to gain respect and rise in their dominance hierarchies, girls learn the art of quick, theatrical, witty comebacks to gain leverage in everyday relations.

In her discussion of 'playing the dozens,' a form of ritualized (Labov 1972) insult, Morgan (2002: 58) finds that a particular format is used: 'Your mother (is) so adjectival . . . (that),' where the adjectival phrase is followed by a clause. Examples include 'Your mother is so fat that when she sits on a quarter she gets two dimes and a nickel' and 'Your mother is so old that when she reads the Bible she reminisces' (Morgan 2002: 59). Insults not only allow practice of verbal skill; through insults peers learn the cultural categories that are relevant to their social group.

In the activity of 'clowning,' older adolescent Latina gang girls studied by Mendoza-Denton (2008: 187) make use of the structure 'Your (possessions/relation of the interlocutor) is so (unflattering adjective) that (outrageous result)' to make comparisons with one another; for example, 'Your mama is so tiny that she could hang-glide on a dorito.' The retort to a clowning insult must be a funnier, more creative and more daring insult than the first, improvised in the local interaction and targeting the co-participant's undesirable features. This practice is related to ritual or mock insults in African American English as well as the vernacular Mexican Spanish verbal art form albur, which typically entails double entendre rhyming references; for example, 'Gi you?'). Among Fren classes, forms of social are responded to w class African American someone of a predi target of return co described Angela as woman, unable to. Goodwin and Alin such insult sequen African American 'Clueless' Village girt roll, suck teeth, and.
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Goodenough's idea that culture cedures, much Harvey Sacks' egorization devi
rhyming references with ‘ambiguous sexual innuendo’ (Mendoza-Denton 2008: 188); for example, *Guera, guera, ¿Quién te encurea?* (‘Blondie, Blondie, who’ll disrobe you?’) Among French adolescents of Algerian descent, parental name-calling, which makes use of potentially face-threatening acts, is used not only to insult but also to tease and flirt (Tetreault 2010).

Forms of social aggression rather than verbal play can occur when ritual insults are responded to with personal insults. Goodwin (2006) found that a working-class African American girl (Angela) who directed a playful ritual insult to someone of a predominantly upper-middle-class clique could quickly become the target of return comments portraying being poor as a degraded status: girls described Angela as unable to find a job when she grew up, working as a cleaning woman, unable to afford braces, needing to be on welfare, and without friends. Goodwin and Alim (2010) describe practices of ‘transmodal stylization’ during such insult sequences. A member of the clique openly mocked what the targeted African American girl was saying by using features of talk associated with white ‘Clueless’ Valley girls while simultaneously producing stereotypical gestures (neck roll, suck teeth, and eyeball roll) associated with black ‘ghetto’ girls.

Children make use of locally relevant and culturally specific categories and category-bound activities to provide negative depictions of those positioned as transgressors. Negative category-bound activities in Evaldsson’s (2007) studies of girls’ groups, including fighting, blaming, exploiting others, lying, and talking behind people’s backs, were associated with the category ‘bad friend.’ Being poor (not having Pokemon cards, wearing old clothing, or lacking material goods more generally), having limited Swedish language proficiency, dressing like a girl, and being labeled a ‘Gypsy’ (rather than the in-group label ‘Romany’ commonly used in school) were negative person descriptors among boys of working-class or immigrant background in multietnic elementary school groups in Sweden (Evaldsson 2005: 771). Such terms were used during character contests (Goffman 1967: 237). Evaldsson (2005) closely examines the sequential environments in which multiparty consensus (see also Evaldsson 2002; Goodwin 1990) is created to ratify particular depictions, through upgrades, laughter, recycles, repetitions, new linked evaluations, and so on that frame the acts of the offending party as disgusting. Evaldsson’s point is that we cannot ascribe meaning to members’ categories without conducting extended fieldwork. Assessment adjectives, pejorative person descriptors, and negative categorizations of activities and actors all point to implicit cultural values that the children invoke and orient to as they accomplish their alignments to one another in the interaction.

### Membership Categorizations

Goodenough’s (1965) notion of identity as situated, local, and occasioned and his idea that culture consists of an underlying body of structures, practices, and procedures, much like the grammar of a language (1981: 102–3), was influential in Harvey Sacks’ formulation of notions of identity selection and membership categorization devices. Sacks (1972) argued that membership categorization devices
provide ways of allowing people to understand categories as sets or standardized relational pairs (e.g., mother and child belong to the category 'family'). Membership categorizations consist of particular actions or category-bound activities that are constitutive of a specific category; members' categories are what people make use of to describe events in the world.

Games, Sacks (1995a) argued, provide ways of 'mapping members' or locating participants in relevant occasion-specific categories. Applying Sacks' notion of membership categorization to analysis of children's games and pretend play, Butler and Weatherall (2006) studied six-to-seven-year-old children in two inner-city Australian schools during recess. They examined members' categories in broadcasting games, playing 'treasures' (a game that involved follow-the-leader — with the leader having the right to judge what counted as real treasure, where the treasure was, and the proper order of game events and players (2006: 455)), playing families, and 'fairy club.'

**Ethnography and studies of members' categorizations**

Current work on talk-in-interaction, which combines ethnographic studies with close sequential analysis of conversation and membership categorization devices, permits investigation not only of how the local situated activity is organized but also how actions and stances (du Bois 2007; Jaffe 2009) taken across a range of interactions are consequential for participants' lives and help to construct more enduring forms of social organization. Through examining stance-taking, we can come to grips with the concerns that deeply animate participants. Evaldsson (2007) explored how 'relationship work' is accomplished among a multiethnic group of immigrant Swedish girls aged between 11 and 12. She was concerned with moral ordering by peers, achieved through both sequential analysis and category membership. Challenging a unitary view of female morality, Evaldsson found that one member of the group of girls she studied was repetitively subject to being made accountable for negative category-bound activities (fighting, blaming, exploiting others, lying, talking behind people's backs, and being disloyal, insane, and friendless). The targeted girl openly resisted responsibility through denials, justifications, recyclings, substitutions, and counter-accusations, which only intensified attributions of negative category membership ('bad friend') and eventually resulted in her being friendless rather than mitigating conflict.

Evaldsson (2005: 764) has argued that, by combining analysis of members' social categories with an examination of talk-in-interaction, we can explore 'the constitutive role of talk for local social organization and how issues associated with wider social structures and discourses can be located, observed, and described within situated action.' Person formulations are articulated in concert with what Zimmerman (1998: 90–1) has discussed as situated identities that emerge out of the particular action at hand. Goodwin (2011) found that gendered terms such as 'girl' and 'boy,' for example, can be mobilized both in the midst of disputes (as a component of a turn taking up an oppositional stance to a prior move interpreted as argumentative – in essence, an epithet) as well as in mutual congratulatory exclamations during performed. Tarim (2006) in Turkey orienting
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Several researchers (Berentzen 1984; Goodwin 2011; Griswold 2007; Kyritzis, Marx, and Wade 2001; Kyritzis 2007) have noted children’s use of membership categorization (Sacks 1995a) for organizing local social order during pretend play. Enacting stratified roles in pretend play provides children with resources for constituting hierarchical relationships among themselves and constructing the local social order of the peer group. For example, Goodwin (2008) noted how girls display ‘best friend’ relations through roles they select in pretend play, such as twins married to twins. The membership categorization devices twin sisters and twin brothers provided a resource for two girls to display alignments to one another while simultaneously differentiating themselves from other clique members, thereby also constructing asymmetrical relationships. Kyritzis (2007) observed a peer group of five-year-old girls who constructed their pretend play as news reporting (Butler and Weatherall 2006). Through their labels and interaction, they oriented to the category of ‘news reporter/announcer’ and divided this category into hierarchical levels, with a lead announcer/news reporter and subordinate announcers. This role division and allocation enabled the children to construct distinctions in their own local social order.

Game roles and categories can also provide children with resources for constructing positive alignments. For example, Hoyle (1998) described two boys displaying affiliation to one another through aligning to one another’s characters’ (sports announcer) speech in pretend play. In peer pretend play, young children can index their social alignments to one another indirectly through the
membership categorizations they orient to in their play. By indexing an orientation to playing 'getting married' (Sheldon 1996), for example, two preschool girls were able to display an orientation to one another and were simultaneously able, consistently with the frame, to exclude a third girl by telling her that she was the baby brother but that she wasn’t born yet.

Accomplishing Local Social Order with Directives in Pretend Play

Pretend play presents children with several resources for constructing social organization within the peer group (Goodwin 1993) in addition to membership categorizations. As Ervin-Tripp (1996: 33) has argued, 'Children’s subtle observation of the background features of adult speech is never revealed so fully as in their role play.' Directives provide one major way through which children realize positions of dominance and submission between characters (Goodwin 1990: 127). (See also Andersen 1990; Aronsson and Thorell 1999; Corsaro 1985; Ervin-Tripp, Guo, and Lampert 1990; Evaldsson and Tellgren 2009; Mitchell-Kernan and Kernan 1977).

In pretend play, children show a keen awareness of how different social identities can be presented as distinct ‘voicings’ (Goldman 1998: 155). Away from adult presence, children ‘exploit their understandings of […] power hierarchies at familial, local, national, and global levels’ (Paugh 2005: 65) and in so doing ‘create alternative social realities in which THEY hold the positions of authority, power, and control’ (Paugh 2005: 65). Goodwin (1990) showed how the African American working-class girls she observed enacted asymmetry in their own social relationships through directives in games of ‘house.’ While girls enacting the role of mother delivered imperatives loudly with emphatic stress to their ‘children,’ girls playing the role of subordinates made excuses to the girls enacting the role of mother, thereby ratifying the right of the girls in the mother role to command them (Goodwin 1990: 127–9).

Subsequent ethnographic studies showed girls’ agentive use of the social organizational affordances provided by directives to differentiate themselves in interactions within their peer group. Griswold (2007) illustrated how six-to-eight-year-old Russian girls used directive forms (permission, information, and assistance requests), often produced in crouched bodily positions, to enact a subordinate position vis-à-vis a girl who peers constructed as occupying a leadership position in the group. They also requested that the girl in the leadership position, including ‘mother,’ make decisions about role assignments of other group members; the girl playing mother ratified this position through moves of her own. Kyratzis, Marx, and Wade (2001) observed four-year-old girls and boys project leadership roles in their respective peer groups by using assertive directive forms while receiving deferent forms—permission and information requests—from other group members. For example, one girl projected a leadership role by instructing other group members how to climb and by assuming the role of the oldest sister (‘I’m 9’9”) in pretend play; the other girls made permission requests and information requests of this girl, deferring in the interaction. Plished by one five-game of news report with discourse mar our weather report transition points of tion by the other gr
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of this girl, deferring to her superior knowledge and ratifying her dominant role in the interaction. Kyratzi (2007) demonstrated how asymmetry was accomplished by one five-year-old member of a friendship triad of preschool girls in a game of news reporter play. The girl enacting main reporter used in-role directives with discourse markers such as 'well,' 'so,' and 'now' ('Now we return back to our weather report'). The projected right of this lead announcer to determine transition points of the activity was repeatedly ratified in the sequence of interaction by the other group members.

In studying directive-response sequences in pretend play and other exchanges, an ethnographic perspective permits us to examine how the social orchestration of an activity can change over time (Goodwin 2006: 155) as well as over other situational features (De León in press b; Evaldsson 2004; Kyratzi and Guo 2001; Kyratzi, Marx, and Wade 2001; Nakamura 2001). De León (in press a, in press b), for example, observing directive-response sequences among siblings in caregiving situations in a Mayan township in Chiapas, Mexico, found that siblings' social organization was emergent and varied, depending on the age composition of the dyad and other features of the 'sibling developmental niche.' Flores Nájera (2009), examining children's games (columpio or 'swing') among bilingual Nahuatl-Spanish speakers in Tlaxcala, Mexico, describes how older siblings, in their caretaking roles, use honorific forms to mitigate both directives and justifications towards younger siblings. In the pretend game of dueñas y criadas ('owners and maids'), modeled after urban Mexican culture, however, cross-situational variation is evident in how authority is displayed during play. Girls in positions of power code-switch from Nahuatl to Spanish to intensify negative evaluation of inappropriate behavior (exclusion of group members). Such actions are accompanied not only by response cries, grammatical intensifiers, and reduplication that registers negative assessment but also by glances displaying annoyance.

Several of the studies mentioned earlier documented children's sensitivity to status relationships in the adult world as seen in directive use during pretend play (Andersen et al. 1999; Aronsson and Thorell 1999; Corsaro 1985; Ervin-Tripp 1996; Ervin-Tripp, Guo, and Lampert 1990; Evaldsson and Tellgren 2009; Mitchell-Kernan and Kernan 1977). The studies reviewed in this section document that positions of leadership are in addition constituted through the way in which requests from others are responded to, either ratifying or challenging the stance taken by a child proposing to act as leader in sequences of interaction. These studies consider how children use directives and other forms agentively to construct asymmetries in their own local social order.

Play with Voicing, Stylization, Genre, and Participation Frameworks – Taking Stances

Another set of resources that child peers can utilize in building their social worlds and identities includes the 'voicing' (Bakhtin 1981; Vološinov 1973), 'stylization' (Rampton 2003, 2006), and 'performance' (Bauman and Briggs 1990) of particular
roles and speakers and the manipulation of participation frameworks during these stylized performances. Citing Goffman’s work on frame analysis (Goffman 1974), Goodwin (1990: 230) argues that ‘by telling a story a speaker is able to bring alive in the midst of ordinary conversation what is in essence a vernacular theatrical performance; the teller enacts the characters whose exploits are being recounted, and, with talk of a different type [...] comments on their meaning.’ She notes Volosinov’s (1973) point that ‘a speaker never simply reports the talk of another but instead, in the very process of animating that talk, comments on it and shows his or her own alignment to it’ (Goodwin 1990: 245). How the animator enacts the quoted speech of the author is critical for determining how others who view the performance align with the animator and evaluate the animated speaker.

**Animating and stylizing others in stories**

Children and teens are provided with a powerful means of disaligning from a speaker and their moral views when they animate that speaker and use his/her own words against them (Eder 1998; Goodwin 1990). When boys animate peer-group members as speaking in a high-pitched, cowardly fashion (Evaldsson 2002) or themselves as speaking assertively and challenging adult authority (Cheshire 2000), they index appropriate and inappropriate behavior for the peer group, projecting a value that boys should not act cowardly.

Teens ‘use language and dialect in discursive practice to appropriate, explore, reproduce or challenge influential images and stereotypes of groups that they don’t themselves (straightforwardly) belong to’ (Rampton 1999: 421; emphasis in original). Rampton conducted ‘micro-discursive analysis of particular episodes in which youngsters put on exaggerated posh and Cockney accents’ (Rampton 2003: 76). These 14-year-olds, attending a multiethnic school serving low-income students in inner-city London, made use of these accents in ‘stylised performances’ (Rampton 2003: 67) to produce evaluative commentaries on others, frame comebacks to playful accusations, and achieve other effects in the local interaction. Rampton concluded that adolescents engage with, comment on, and ‘denaturalize’ cultural associations and stereotypes indexed by the accents and language varieties. Over time, such practices have the power to ‘change the associative meaning potential of a particular language form or variety’ (Rampton 2006: 343).

Studying interaction during focus groups, Keim (2008) observed a group of adolescent girls of Turkish heritage in Germany (i.e. the ‘Turkish Powergirls’) switching into playful performances and caricatures using features of dialects of various social groups that they did not consider themselves to belong to. For example, they used *Gastarbeiterdeutsch* (‘migrant workers’ German) to evoke ‘the negative social category of the “backward Turk”’ (Keim 2008: 219). However, they also used *Gastarbeiterdeutsch* to evoke the German monolingual speaker’s ‘stereotypes about migrants and force him/her interactively to cope with these stereotypes’ (Keim 2008: 219) in the moment. The ways in which children and teens style, stylize, and animate others in play, disputes, and stories allow them to render social com group interactions

**Play with reg: Making social**

In pretend play, they combine, delete, and replace, roles, settings, and moral messages, as in evaluative commentaries and by mocking other group members’ archetypical relations negotiated within local hierarchies. Age hierarchies are enforced, embedding in inappropriate and controversial evidence of children’s use of forms that social groups pass in the course of exploring and st
render social commentary and construct local social and moral order in peer-group interactions.

**Play with register, genre, and participation frameworks:**

**Making social commentary**

In pretend play, children play with register and genre and playfully perform, combine, delete, and juxtapose features from different registers/genres (Minks 2006, 2010; Reynolds 2002, 2008, 2010). These playful exploratory combinations provide children with a resource for exploring relations among different social roles, settings, and discourses (Briggs and Bauman 1992); for delivering powerful moral messages, and for negotiating and subverting existing social order (de León 2007; Kyrtzaïs 2007; Loyd 2006, 2011; Minks 2006; Paugh 2005; Reynolds 2002, 2007, 2008, 2010). Even children in the early childhood years show agency in using such resources. For example, Reynolds (2007) examined how two-to-fourteen-year-old children from an extended kin network group in a highland Guatemala Kaqchikel Maya town ‘entextualized’ (Bauman and Briggs 1990) a formulaic politeness routine, the greeting *Buenos días*, combined it with another genre of respect, a military salute, and utilized it to negotiate the local social order of kin group exchanges. By extending use of the genre to contexts other than that in which it canonically occurs, as ‘a respectful greeting routine’ (Reynolds 2007: 447), and by mockingly tying it to the format of and elaborating (with insult terms) other group members’ uses of the greeting, the children subverted existing hierarchical relations among members of the kin group, conveyed disrespect, and negotiated local social order.

Age hierarchies were also observed to be subverted by children in an ethnographic study conducted by de León (2007) in Zinacantan, Chiapas, Mexico. She observed a pair of young Tzotzil Mayan siblings, a two-year-old and a four-year-old, embedding a politeness routine, an invitation to eat (‘Do you want to eat?’), in inappropriate contexts. The invitation or call is usually uttered by children with an evidential (i.e. ‘do you want to eat, it is said’) when they are calling other children in to eat for an adult, acting as the adult’s messenger. The evidential indexes their role as ‘animators’ (Goffman 1974) of an adult-authored message. However, the children subverted the form, leaving off the evidential. They uttered it in the presence of their grandfather and format-tied to his posings of the invitation/question to them, thereby subverting existing age hierarchies.

In another analysis, Reynolds (2010) examined how two children, a six-year-old and an 11-year-old, enacted *el Desafío* (‘the Challenge’) performances that they had observed being performed in the streets of their Kaqchikel Maya town. This is a Spanish reconquest genre that pits *el Rey Cristiano* (the Christian king) against *el Rey Mora* (the Moorish king). The children combined poetic forms from this genre with forms that indexed a caretaking register. Reynolds argued that, by so doing, the children were able to draw an analogy between varieties of authority encompassed in the two kinds of roles, thereby providing them with a resource for exploring and subverting their own unequal social positions within the sibling-kin
group. Tetreault (2009) observed teenagers of Algerian descent in France playfully appropriating and 'entextualizing' French television host register, whereby 'capitalizing' on the primary power of television hosts: to contextualize guests within interactions that the host primarily orchestrates (Tetreault 2009: 205). The peers exploited and arranged participant frameworks, enabling them to 'create a generalized footing that facilitates embedded rumors about their tutors and peer[s]' (Tetreault 2009: 217). The ironic footing also facilitated embedded commentary on the broader French society where they lived. Kyratzis (2009) observed members of a peer group of American preschool children exploiting features of announcer/news reporter register to bring on, present, and remove other characters (e.g. guest announcers) from the stage, to build local social order among group members.

Poveda and Marcos (2005) documented Gitano children (i.e. children living in Romany or Gypsy communities) teasing and animating non-Gitano children living in the same public housing project in a community in Spain during a stone fight. The Gitano children were observed animating the non-Gitano children as saying things ('I am a baby') that indexed what were, from the perspective of the children's cultural worlds (Corsaro 1997), child-based ideologies regarding age; that is, 'social categories that are problematic (face threatening) for [...] recipient[s]' (Poveda and Marcos 2005: 344). By drawing on their own child-based cultural ideologies and resources, the children were able to 'transform and reinterpret sociogeographical arrangements that have been put into place primarily by adults' (Poveda and Marcos 2005: 346).

Even young toddlers have been observed appropriating formulaic expressions from adult caregivers and embedding them in new contexts for rhetorical effect. Köymen (2008, 2010; Köymen and Kyratzis 2009) observed 24–30-month-old children appropriating the expressions of caregivers ('I don’t like it when you ...'), provided to children as part of an institutional, curriculum-based mandate to 'use your words' and make their affective states known to peers in negotiating conflicts. The children embedded and 'recontextualized' (Ochs 1996) these formulaic utterances in new contexts that were not the idealized uses of the expressions. In one example, a child used the formula to provoke a conflict with a peer rather than to resolve one, thereby perpetuating conflict and subverting the adult intention of the practice. In an ethnographic study conducted in NICASTRO, southern Italy, Loyd (2006) observed children, including a three-year-old, appropriating adult-like expressions and enacting 'adult personas' in their conflicts with peers. These shifts in footing enabled children to project moral authority and influence local social order during the disputes. In another study, Reynolds (2008) examined how young children in a Kaqchikel Maya town countered attempts by older members of the sibling/kin group to author words for them during teasing routines. The authored words were intended to put down other, older members of the sibling–kin group. By 'choosing sides and authoring their own words' (Reynolds 2008: 96), the children were able to take their own stances and subvert local age hierarchies.
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France playfully register, thereby textualize guests (2009: 205). The tert playfully register, thereby textualize guests (2009: 205). The plod to create a climate of learning. The children were able to render commentary on peers, act out unsanctioned forms of behavior, and explore and challenge the limits of such unsanctioned behavior among themselves (Minks 2006: 123). They were also provided with a venue to draw links between different bodies of discourse in their multicultural experience (Minks 2006: 122; see also Bauman and Briggs 1990).

Through varied means, children animate, entextualize (Bauman and Briggs 1990), enact, stylize, and recontextualize (Ochs 1992) the expressions of others. By making artful use of speech in expressive performance (Rampton 2006: 16) and by embedding register and genre elements agentively in new contexts, as well as exploiting participation frameworks in interaction with peers and kin, they explore and evaluate social relations in the adult world, challenge and subvert existing hierarchies, and negotiate their places in the local social order.

**Juxtaposing Resources from Multiple Languages**

A considerable body of research has examined how code-switching functions as a contextualization cue (Gumperz 1982) during children's peer-group conversations, serving to alert participants in the course of the ongoing interaction to the social and situational context of the conversation (Li 1998: 164; see also Auer 1998; Ervin-Tripp and Reyes 2005; Gumperz and Cook-Gumperz 2005). Code-switching can be used to negotiate shifts in alignment, footing (Goffman 1979), or kind of talk (Ervin-Tripp and Reyes 2005; Gumperz and Cook-Gumperz 2005; Zentella 1997); in participation framework (Cromdal and Aronsson 2000; Kyratzis, Tang, and Köymen 2009); or production format (Cromdal and Aronsson 2000). Code-switching can serve as a resource for displaying speakers' shifting or competitive orientation to an exchange and for resolving overlap (Cromdal 2001), as an interactional resource in the sequential construction of oppositional stances (Cromdal 2004: 53), and for disaligning with others' talk and suggestions during episodes of power-wielding (Jorgensen 1998).

Researchers taking a language socialization perspective examine how, in areas of language contact, through their language practices in play, children 'draw on and reproduce more broadly held ideologies about the relationship and meanings of the two languages' (Schieffelin 2003: 158). (See also Garrett 2005, this volume; Garrett and Baquedano-López 2002; Kyratzis, Reynolds, and Evaldsson 2010; Paugh 2005, this volume; Zentella 1997). Paugh (2005) followed children's code-switching and play practices in peer/kin groups in Dominica. Through their selection of codes to enact particular adult roles in role-play, the children...
including three-year-olds, could ‘transform the associations with the languages through using them in their play’ (Paugh 2005: 80), which in certain ways could contribute to the maintenance of Patwa in the region. Minks found Miskitu children on Corn Island moving very ‘easily across social and linguistic boundaries’ (2006: 125) in peer-group interactions. With such practices, children ‘socialize heteroglossia’ within the peer group (Minks 2010), possibly supporting the maintenance of indigenous languages such as Miskitu in areas undergoing language shift.

However, children’s peer- and sibling/kin-group practices can also contribute to language shift and language loss in a community. Quichua-Spanish-speaking children in a highland Ecuadorian community did not play together in Quichua, preferring Spanish, despite Quichua being used among adults in the community (Rindstedt and Aronsson 2002). In immigrant communities, practices within children’s peer groups can also reproduce or challenge dominant societal discourses (Garrett and Baquedano-López 2002; see also Baquedano-López and Mangual Figueroa, this volume; García-Sánchez, this volume). Cekaite and Evaldsson (2008) and Evaldsson and Cekaite (2010) followed multiethnic peer groups in two schools in Sweden, examining how group members reproduced monolingual norms of the school by criticizing their peers for uses of languages other than Swedish. At alternative moments, however, the children challenged these same norms through using code-switching and crossing, indexing bilingual identities, and defying monolingual institutional norms. García-Sánchez (2010) observed how members of a peer group of immigrant Moroccan girls in Spain made use of bilingual practices, using both Moroccan Arabic and Spanish during pretend play with their dolls. In the bilingual community in which they were growing up, bilingual play provided a space in which group members explored conflicting forms of gender identification. Garrett (2007) observed older St. Lucian boys to use Kweyol in ‘unsupervised peer contexts,’ in contrast to most everyday, adult-supervised contexts, in which they used English. Garrett concluded that Kweyol was used by these boys to ‘index adult masculinity’ and work out their own domain associations and ‘subjectivities’ for English and Kweyol (Garrett 2007: 249). Kyratzis (2010) observed members of a peer group of Mexican-heritage immigrant girls in a bilingual preschool classroom in California. Through code-switching, the children negotiated shifting activity frames, inscribed domain associations for their two languages (Garrett 2005; Paugh 2005; Schieffelin 2003), and challenged institutionally inscribed discourses. A peer group of New York Puerto Rican children (Zentella 1997) and a peer group of Dominican American youths in Providence, Rhode Island (Bailey 2007) used code-switching and ‘heteroglossic’ language practices (Bakhtin 1981) to effect changes in the local interactive context in group interactions, simultaneously challenging language ideologies of the dominant US society. Through their language practices within the peer group, children challenge, ‘draw on, and reproduce more broadly held language ideologies’ (Schieffelin 2003: 158) of the multilingual communities in which they grow up, as they act to accomplish their local social organization.
Conclusion

Kulick and Schieffelin have recently argued that missing from Bourdieu's formulations of habitus and Judith Butler's claims about the performative power of language are accounts of 'how' it is that individuals are socialized into a habitus; thus, 'processes of becoming a culturally intelligible subject are assumed and asserted more than they are actually demonstrated' (Kulick and Schieffelin 2004: 351–2). This chapter has documented embodied language practices entailed in peer-based social control and negotiation that children—across an array of diverse cultures and physical locales—make use of to build local social organization within their own peer-group communities and index appropriate and inappropriate behavior for the local peer group through (universal) language practices. Schieffelin and Ochs have argued that an important goal of language socialization research 'has been to articulate a model that reconciles what is particular and what is universal about the communicative practices of novices and of experts' (Schieffelin and Ochs 1996: 257). We have demonstrated that, within their own same- and near-age group communities, in neighborhoods, schoolyards, and separated areas of children's interaction, away from the influence of adults, children socialize one another.

Like previous bodies of research on children's peer cultures and socialization of one another (Blum-Kulka and Snow 2004; Cook-Gumperz and Kyartzas 2001; Cook-Gumperz and Corsaro 1986; Corsaro 1985, 1997; Eder 1995; Ervin-Tripp and Mitchell-Kernan 1977; Gaskins, Miller, and Corsaro 1992) and as discussed in prior reviews (Cook-Gumperz and Kyartzas 2001; Goodwin and Kyartzas 2007; Kyartzas 2004), the studies reviewed here focus on how children 'creatively use cultural resources' (Gaskins, Miller, and Corsaro 1992: 7) in building their own social worlds, ones that can be very different from the life worlds of adults. However, this body of studies differs from other peer socialization research in giving special emphasis to (1) children’s agency in attending to and building local hierarchy and social organization; (2) the importance of examining moment-to-moment, embodied, and situated practices in sequences of interaction; and (3) the relevance of using ethnography to provide broader perspectives on the resources drawn upon by children in moment-to-moment interactions. Importantly, the studies also expand the types of children's peer groups studied to include age-graded groupings in which older siblings and kin care for younger children (de Leon 2007, in press b; Minks 2010; Reynolds 2007, 2010; Rogoff 1981). Moreover, as many of the world's children currently grow up in postcolonial and transnational societies, the studies expand the types of children's peer groups to include peer and kin groups in multicultural and multilingual communities. The research reported on was conducted in Denmark, Dominica, England, France, Germany, Guatemala, Italy, Mexico, Nicaragua, Spain, St. Lucia, Sweden, Thailand, Turkey, and diverse US communities. The comparative perspective taken in this review revealed differences across communities and cultures in practices and ideologies that children
could draw upon. For example, children freely invoked differing cultural images and ideologies of gender, age-based, or language groups that were salient in their communities. They did so by using various indexical signs (Ochs 1996). These included members’ category terms — such as ‘girl’ and ‘boy’ (Goodwin 2011), ‘Gypsy’ versus ‘Romany’ (Evaldsson 2005) — as well as practices such as parental name-calling, as used among French adolescents of Algerian descent (Tetreault 2010). These terms and practices were freely invoked and challenged by children for their own purposes. However, the studies also revealed universals, such as the agency shown by children in utilizing these cultural resources towards constituting their local social order, as well as the potential importance of these children’s group practices for the larger communities’ cultural reproduction and change.

Many of the studies reviewed here examine how children utilize a variety of resources to build, manage, and monitor local hierarchies. These include selecting and enacting pretend roles privileged to speak with high- (and low-) status control act forms (Kyratzis 2007), and utilizing positionings of the body (Griswold 2007) as well as forms of sanctioning and exclusion (Evaldsson and Tellgren 2009; Goodwin 2006). A central finding was that positions of power and subordination emerge and unfold in sequences of interaction. A child who projects a position of control over the actions of others in the group through directives and positioning of the body, or who projects another child as being in control, must have that position ratified by other group members in sequences of interaction. The studies document that hierarchy, rather than being a byproduct of the age make-up of the group, is emergent and interactionally achieved. Hierarchy is negotiated in the moment, and a child who is constructed as a lower-status member in one context can challenge that status in another through their language practices in the peer or kin group, as seen in many of the studies reviewed here (e.g. de Léon in press b; Goodwin 2006; Griswold 2007; Howard 2009, this volume; Kyratzis, Marx, and Wade 2001; Reynolds 2010). As noted by Bucholtz (2007), power is also indexed by discernment; therefore, forms of assessment (e.g. gossip, ritual insult, and portrayals of others in compliments, games, and stories) become primary resources through which children build their social worlds. Children were observed to be continually defining and redefining the moral order through assessment and evaluation and to hold others accountable to the important social categories of the group.

Although the studies reviewed here were concerned with how participants constituted their social and moral order through publicly available resources in sequences of interaction during talk-in-interaction, an ethnographic perspective was also needed to understand children’s categorizations and assessments. An ethnographic perspective reveals the ‘wider social structures and discourses’ (Evaldsson 2005: 764) that are available in the broader community of which the peer group is a part and also how the children agentively draw on, reproduce, and resist those ideologies available to them (Ochs 1996; Schieffelin 2003). The studies therefore show how children (even quite young children), not only adults, can be agents of cultural reproduction and change, thereby expanding the language socialization paradigm.
ring cultural images were salient in their (Ochs 1996). These (Goodwin 2011), roles such as parental descent (Tetreault 2011), Lees such as parental descent (Tetreault 2011), and Tellgren 2009; subordination projects a position of power and positioning control, must have that interaction. The studies engage make-up of the is negotiated in the gender in one context practices in the peer e.g. de León in press Kyratzis, Marx, and power is also indexed p, ritual insult, and role primary resources were observed to be high assessment and social categories of the

Some of the studies reviewed underscore the ingenuity of teens and children, not only in evoking negative characteristics of peers and others in situated interaction but in doing so through indirect, veiled, and humorous means. They index negative (and positive) social categories of their peers or tutors, or of the societies in which they live, through exploiting footings and participation frameworks and through appropriating others’ words in pretend games, disputes, and stories. Enactments in the frame of play allow children to assume authoritative roles and stances and to explore relations among different voices of authority, sometimes allowing them to subvert those same relations. Through the evaluations and categorizations of person that they make in different situated activities (Goffman 1961: 96) of storytelling, ritual insult, and pretend play, children take up either common or divergent stances towards the target, building social alignments and local social order. In multilingual settings, children also show agency in assigning roles and places to their two (or more) languages in their talk and play (Paugh 2005; Schieffelin 2003) and in drawing on dominant discourses (Cekaite and Evaldsson 2008; Evaldsson 2005; Kyratzis, Reynolds, and Evaldsson 2010) in situated action with their peers.

In summary, the studies reviewed in this chapter examine linguistic practices entailed in child-based social control and negotiation that children across an array of diverse cultures make use of to build local social organization within their own ‘arenas of action’ (Hutchby and Moran-Ellis 1998) and hold one another accountable for the social activity in progress. The work reviewed here provides ethnographic examples of empirically grounded studies of how local identities and forms of moral and social order (which through time evolve into more enduring forms) are constituted by children as the product of moment-to-moment interactive practices within their peer and sibling/kin groups.
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Language Socialization and Exclusion

INMACULADA M. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ

Introduction

Whether one feels a sense of belonging to a particular social group depends to a large extent on the treatment received by others in public domains of social life (Appiah 2006; Levinas 1998; Taylor 1994), specifically whether one is allowed to assume and develop social identities compatible with sanctioned membership in a social group (Ochs 2002). In understanding how children and other novices are apprenticed through language practices and activities into specific childhood identities associated with other community identities that will help them to become competent members of a given social group, language socialization researchers to date have mostly focused on socially organized practices designed to socialize children into ways of acting, feeling, and knowing that are consistent with membership in that social or cultural group (e.g. Ochs and Schieffelin 1984; Schieffelin and Ochs 1986). Little is still known, however, about the socially organized practices that are inconsistent with fully fledged membership in a community and that may render certain groups of novices as ‘outsiders’ and as a second-class members of the social group. Based on a larger linguistic ethnographic study of Moroccan immigrant children in a rural community in southwestern Spain, this chapter discusses the everyday micro-genesis of social exclusion through the examination of naturally occurring interactions of young Moroccan immigrant children with their Spanish peers and teachers during the school day.

This chapter applies a language socialization perspective on social exclusion by documenting the interactional features of negative differential positioning through which Spanish peers construct marginalized social identities for Moroccan immigrant children. By laying out the linguistic and nonverbal displays that organize the complex architecture of exclusionary acts, activities, and stances, this